(3)

IN THE COURT OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BENCH, RAIPUR (C.G.)

WP(S) 3781/01

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. / 2001

Applicant :

Shri J. K. Nayak
S/o Shri Motilal Nayak .
Age- about 35 years
Astt. Teacher , M.S. Khorigoan
Block -Baramkela , Tahsil - Sarangarh
Distt. Raigarh (C. G.).

No. 1875/01
Filed today 13.9.01
by Shri H. K. Sahu
For Registrar,
M. P. State Adm. Tribunal,
RAIPUR.

Versus

Respondent :

1. Secretary, through Chhattisgarh govt. School Education department, D.K. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector , Raigarh (C.G.)
3. Distt. Education Officer, Raigarh (C.G.)

APPLICATION U/S. 19 OF M.P.STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT.

(27)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W.P. (S) No. 3781 of 2005

Petitioner		Shri J. K. Nayak
	Versus	
Respondents		Secretary, through Chhattisgarh govt. School ducation department, Raipur & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri H. K. Sahu , Advocate for the petitioner.

V. V. S. Murthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 9th day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner while working as Assistant Teacher in Primary School, Khorigaon, District – Raigarh was transferred to Primary School, Lendhra, on the same terms and conditions, vide order dated 31.7.2001 (Annexure A/1).
2. The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Raipur vide interim order dated 14.9.2001 directed to maintain status quo in regard to the position of the petitioner as it was prevailing on 13.9.2001. By virtue of the interim order the petitioner is continuing at the original place of posting.
3. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate orders keeping in view the public interest and administrative exigency, without insisting on compliance of the impugned transfer order.
4. It is well settled principle of law that transfer is an incident of service and it is for the employer to decide as to where a particular officer/employee be posted, keeping in view public interest as well as administrative exigency. This Court has limited jurisdiction to interfere in the transfer matter and this Court can interfere only in the case of proved malafide, non-competence of authority passing the transfer order and transfer order not being in conformity with the rules and regulations.
5. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur